US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Magnitude of the Residue **OPPTS 860.1500 DACO 7.4.1**

PC Code: 128008 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201 Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

Date:

July 2, 2003

Reviewers:

Reviewer

SIMB/HED (7509C)

Tamara Sheremata, Evaluator

Peer reviewer

FREAS, HED, PMRA

Richard A. Loranger

Branch Senior Scientist

RAB2/HED (7509C)

Section Head

FREAS, HED, PMRA

DP Barcode: D278386

Petition No.: 1F06313

Citations:

45405111 Haughey, D.; Abdel-Baky, S. (2000) The Magnitude of BAS 510 F

Residues in Almonds: Final Report: Lab Project Number: 63902: 2000/5226:

99135. Unpublished study prepared by BASF Corporation. 53 p.

45405201 Haughey, D.; Abdel-Baky, S. (2001) The Magnitude of BAS 510 F Residues in Pecans: Final Report: Lab Project Number: 63900: 2000/5230.

Unpublished study prepared by BASF Corporation, 47 p.

Sponsor:

BASF Corporation

Background

The information contained herein was compiled by Dynamac Corporation (20440 Century Boulevard, Suite 100, Germantown MD 20874), contractor, under the supervision of RAB2/HED. This DER has undergone secondary review by RAB2, and reflects current HED and Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) policies. This DER has also been peer-reviewed by PMRA/Canada.

PC Code: 128008 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201 Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043

Executive Summary

BASF Corporation has submitted field trial data on the representative crops, almond and pecan, of the tree nuts crop group (Crop Group 14). Five almond trials were conducted in Region 10 (CA) and five pecan trials were conducted in Regions 2 (2 trials; GA), 4 (1 trial; MS), 6 (1 trial; OK), and 8 (1 trial; OK). The number and location of field trials satisfy EPA's data requirement for the tree nuts crop group.

At each test location, the 70% WG formulation of BAS 510 F was applied four times as a foliar spray at ~0.23 lb ai/A/application (~0.26 ka ai/ha/application), with a 6- to 8-day retreatment interval, for a total rate of 0.90-0.93 lb ai/A (1.01-1.04 kg ai/ha) using either concentrate (64-101 gal/A, or 717-1131 L/ha) or dilute (136-257 gal/A, or1523-2878 L/ha) spray volumes. Mature samples were collected at the following posttreatment intervals: 108-148 days for almond (hulls and nutmeat) and 14 days for pecan nutmeat. In one almond field trial, samples of almond hulls and nutmeat were collected at 120, 127, 134, 148, and 155 days following treatment to evaluate residue decline.

In <u>almond hulls</u>, residues of BAS 510 F were 0.420-2.63 ppm (concentrate spray) and 0.824-2.81 ppm (dilute spray) in/on samples harvested 108-148 days following the last of four foliar spray applications of the 70% WG formulation at 0.222-0.236 lb ai/A/application, for a total rate of 0.90-0.93 lb ai/A (1.01-1.04 kg ai/ha). No significant differences in the residues were observed between the concentrate and dilute spray applications. The residue decline data for almond hulls indicated that BAS 510 F residues increased at longer posttreatment intervals, with maximum residues occurring at the 148- to 155-day posttreatment intervals.

In almond nutmeat, residues of BAS 510 F were 0.028-0.159 ppm (concentrate spray) and 0.019-0.202 ppm (dilute spray) in/on samples harvested 108-148 days following the last of four foliar spray applications of the 70% WG formulation at 0.222-0.236 lb ai/A/application (0.249-0.264 kg ai/ha), for a total rate of 0.90-0.93 lb ai/A (1.01-1.04 ka ai/ha). No significant differences in the residues were observed between the concentrate and dilute spray applications. The residue decline data for almond nutmeat indicated that residues of BAS 510 F generally did not increase at longer posttreatment intervals.

In <u>pecan nutmeat</u>, residues of BAS 510 F were below the LOQ (<0.05 ppm) in/on samples harvested 14 days following the last of four foliar concentrated or dilute spray applications of the 70% WG formulation at 0.222-0.239 lb ai/A/application (0.249-0.268 kg ai/ha), for a total rate of 0.91-0.93 lb ai/A (1.02-1.04 kg ai/ha). No residue decline data were included in the submission for pecans. Because residues were below the LOQ in/on all samples, residue decline data for pecans will not be required.

Residues of BAS 510 F in/on almond hulls and nutmeat and pecan nutmeat were quantitated using a validated LC/MS/MS method D9908, the data collection method for plant commodities.

Acceptable concurrent method validation data for almond and pecan commodities were included in the submission.

Storage stability data (refer to the DER for MRID 45405109) are available to support the 131 days (4.3 months) storage interval for the almond and pecan samples in this study.

Residue data from the current submission are acceptable to fulfill EPA crop field trial data requirements for the tree nuts crop group (crop group 14).

GLP Compliance

Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance, and Data Confidentiality statements were provided. No GLP deviations were reported which would impact the study results or their interpretation.

1. Materials and Methods

1.1. Test Substance

BAS 510 F

Active Ingredient

Common Name: Nicobifen (ISO, proposed)

IUPAC Name: 2-Chloro-N-(4'-chlorobiphenyl-2-yl)nicotinamide

CAS Name: 3-Pyridinecarboxamide, 2-chloro-N-(4'chloro[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-

CAS Number: 188425-85-6 Company Name: BAS 510 F

Other Synonyms: BASF Registry No. 300355

| Стор | | Alı | nond | | | Pe | can | |
|-----------------------|--------|---|------------------|------|--------|---|---------------------|--|
| NAFTA Growing Regions | Submi | itted | Reque | sted | | | | |
| | Canada | U.S. | Canada | U.S. | Canada | U.S. | Canada | U.S. |
| 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | · | | | | 2 | | | * . |
| 2 | | | | | na² | 2 | na² | 2 |
| . 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | na² | 1 | na² | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 5A | · * | | | | | | | * |
| 5B | | | Service Services | | | · / | A Section 15 to the | |
| 6 | | | | | na² | 1 | na² | , 1 |
| 7 | ال الم | | | | | • | | |
| 7A | | | | | | | 1 1 | *************************************** |
| 8 | | • | | | na² | 1 % | na² | 1 |
| 9 | | | | | | | | *************************************** |
| 10 | na² | 5 | na² | 5 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | ****** |
| 13 | | - | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | . i | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | ······································ |
| 16 . | | | | | | *************************************** | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | |) |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | *************************************** |
| 20 | | *************************************** | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| Total Trials | na² | 5 | na ² | 5 | na² | 5 | ne ² | 5 |

Magnitude of the Residue OPPTS 860.1500 DACO 7.4.1 PC Code: 128008 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201 Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043

²There are no specific Canadian requirements for crop field trial studies for almonds and pecans (Dir 98-02).

Tree Nut Crop Group PMRA a.i. code (CHH) **BAS 510 F**

Magnitude of the Residue OPPTS 860.1500 DACO 7.4.1

PC Code: 128008 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201

Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043

| able 1. | Table 1.2.2. Crop and Field Trial Information. | old Trial Infor | mation. | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|-----------------------|---------|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| EPA Region | Location (County, State, Year) | Crop/ Variety | Formul. | Applic. Timing | Applic. Rate (1b ai/A) [kg ai/ha] | Retreat. Intervals (days) | No. of Applics. | Applic. Method/ Applic. Volume (GPA) [L/ha] | Total Applic. Rate (lb ai/A) [kg ai/ha] | Tank Mix Adjuvants | Harvest Procedures |
| Almond | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01 | Tulare, CA, 1999 | Almond/ Monterey | 70% WG | Appl. 1 and 2: fruit set Appl. 3 and 4: mature | 0.229-0.23 [0.257- 0.258] | | * | Concentrate foliar spray/ 82.58-87.98 [925-985] | 0.919 | Latron B-1956 (2pt/100gal) | Almond nutment and hulls harvested 148 days after last application (DALA). |
| | | | | | 0.228-0.23 [0.255- 0.258] | | * | Dilute foliar spray/ 209.41-230.12 [2345-2577] | 0.915 | | |
| 01 | Kern, CA, 1999 | Almond/ Non-Pareil | 70% WG | Appl. 1: nut set Appl. 2-4: fruit set | 0.229-0.230 [0.257- 0.258] | | 4 | Concentrate foliar spray/ 74.84-77.85 [883-872] | 0.919 | Latron B-1956 (2pt/100gal) | Almond nutment and hulls harvested 108 DALA. |
| | | | | | 0.229-0.23 [0.257- 0.258] | | 4 | Dilute foliar spray/ 196.18-202.09 [2197-2263] | 0.919 | : | |
| 9 | Fresno, CA, 1999 | Almond/ Non-Pareil | 70% WG | Appl. 1: post petal fall Appl. 2-4: small nuts | 0.223-0.227 [0.250- 0.255] | | * | Concentrate foliar spray/ 97.08-98.92 [1087-1108] | 0.903 | Latron B-1956 (8oz/100gal) | Almond nutment and hulls harvested 116 DALA. |
| | | | | | 0.227-0.230 [0.254- 0.258] | | 4 | Dilute foliar spray/ 246.87-251.6 [2765-2818] | 0.916 [1.03] | | |

Tree Nut Crop Group

BAS 510 F

PMRA a.i. code (CHH)

Magnitude of the Residue OPPTS 860,1500

DAC0 7.4.1

Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201 PC Code: 128008

Almond nutmeat and hulls harvested 115 Harvest Procedures DALA. (802/100gal) Adjuvants **Tank Mix** Latron B-1956 Total Applic. (fb ai/A) (kg ai/hai) 0.925 [1.04] 0.911 [1.02] Applic. Volume (GPA) spray/ 247.86-256.9 Applic. Method/ foliar spray/ 96.7-100.84 [2776-2877] Concentrate 1083-1129] Dilute foliar [Ana] Retreat. No. of Intervals Applies. ** (days) 0.228-0.236 0.222-0.231 (tb aifA) [kg aima] [0.255-[0.249-0.260] Applic. 0.265] Applic. Timing post petal fall Appl. 2-4: small nuts Appl. 1: 20% WG Formul. lable 1.2.2. Crop and Field Trial Information. Almond/ Non-Pareil Crop² Variety Region (County, State, Madera, CA, 1999 Location Year) EPA 01

8 of 16

BAS 510 F
Tree Nut Crop Group
OPPT
PMRA a.i. code (CHH)
DAC

Magnitude of the Residue OPPTS 860.1500 DACO 7.4.1

PC Code: 128008 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201 Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043

(1pt/100gal) hulls harvested 120, 127, 134, 148, and 155 Almond nutment and Harvest Procedures DALA. Adjuvants Tank Mix CS-7 Total Applic. (Ib ai/A) [kg ai/ha] 0.922 0.916 Applic. Volume (GPA) [L/ha] spray/ 157.9-162.2 [1769-1817] Applic. Method/ foliar spray/ 67.5-70.6 Concentrate Dilute foliar 756-791] Applics. No. of Intervals Retreat. (days) 67 3 0.229-0.232 0.228-0.23 (fb ai/A) [kg ai/ha] Applic. Rate [0.257. 0.260] [0.255-0.258] respective: -2, 3, Applic. Timing 4, and 5 weeks after petal fall Appl. 1-4, 10% WG Formul. Table 1.2.2. Crop and Field Trial Information. Almond/ Non-Pareil Crop/ Variety Region (County, State, Year) (decline study) Butte, CA, 1999 Location EPA 9

| | | | | | | | | | ě | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|---|--|-------|-----------------------------------|---|
| a | Clark, GA, 1999 | Pecan/ Stewart | 70% WG | | 0.225-0.230 [0.286- 0.258] | 6-7 | * | Concentrate foliar spray/ 72,26-77,65 [809-870] | 0.913 | Surf-AC 820 (0.25%, v:v) | Surf-AC 820 Pecan nutmeat harvested (0.25%, v.v) 14 DALA. |
| | | | | days, and 12-22 days to maturity | 0.222-0.231 [0.249- 0.259] | 1.9 | * | Dilute foliar spray/ 167.10-180.1 [1872-2017] | 0.908 | | |
| 7 | Tift, GA, 1999 | Pecan/ Sumner | 70% WG Appl. 1-3: late kernel Appl. 4: early shuck | filling split | 0.231-0.232 [0.289- 0.260] | 8-9 | * | Concentrate foliar spray/ 78.6-87.5 [880-980] | 0.925 | Latron B-1956 (150z/100gal) | Pecan nutmeat harvested 14 DALA. |
| | | | | | 0.230 [0.258] | 6-8 | * | Dilute foliar spray/ 183-205 [2050-2296] | 0.920 | Latron B-1956 (60z/100gal) | |

b

PMRA a.i. code (CHH) Tree Nut Crop Group BAS 510 F

Magnitude of the Residue OPPTS 860.1500 DACO 7.4.1

Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201 PC Code: 128008

| Table | Table 1.2.2. Crop and Field Trial Information. | eld Trial Infor | mation. | | | | | | | - | |
|---------------|--|------------------|---------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| EPA Region | BPA Location Region (County, State, Year) | Crop/ Variety | Formal | Applic. Timing | Applic. Rate (lb ai/A) | Retreat. Intervals (days) | No. of Applies. | Applic. Method/ Applic. | Total Applic. Rate (lb ai/A) | Tank Mix Adjuvants | Harvest Procedures |
| | | · | | | | | | Volume (GPA) [L/ha] | | | |
| 4 | Sharkey, MS, | Pecan/ | 70% WG | 70% WG Appl. 1 and 2: | 0.223-0.235 | 8-9 | 4 | Concentrate | 0.918 | Surf-Aid | Pecan nutment harvested |
| | 8 | Krowa | Δ | fmiting | [0.250- | | | foliar spray/ | 3 | (0.25%, v:v) | 14 DALA. |
| | | | | Appl. 3 and 4: cracking and | 0.263] | | | 66-88.6 [739-989] | | | |
| | | | | maturing | 0.228-0.235 | 8-9 | 4 | Dilute foliar | 0.923 | | |
| | | | | : | 10.255- | | | spray/ | [0:1] | | |
| | | | | | 0.263] | | | 136.2-144 | | *************************************** | |
| | | | | | | | | 11525-16131 | | | |

10 of 16

BAS 510 F
Tree Nut Crop Group
OP
PMRA a.i. code (CHH)
DA

Magnitude of the Refidue OPPTS 860.1500 DACO 7.4.1

1 the Restatue S 860.1500 MRIDs: 7.4.1 Submiss

PC Code: 128008 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201 Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043

| 121 | Table 1.2.2. Crop and Field Trial Information. | rmation. | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| EPA Location Crop/ Region (County, State, Variety Year) | - | Formul. | Applic. Timing | Applic. Rate (1b ai/A) [kg ai/ha] | Retreat. Intervals (days) | No. of Applics. | Applic. Method/ Applic. Volume (GPA) [L/ha] | Total Applic. Rate (1b ai/A) [kg ai/ha] | Tank Mix Adjuvants | Harvest Procedures |
| Stephens, OK, Pecan/ 1999 Seedling | 20 | 70% WG Appl. 1-4, respective: mature-nut not cracking charle and charle and charle and charle and charles and char | Appl. 1-4, respective: mature-nut hulls not cracking; no | 0.224-0.239 [0.251- 0.268] | 8-/ | * | Concentrate foliar spray/ 63.6-66.0 [712-739] | 0.920 | Hi Yield 90/10 (80z/100gal) | Pecan nutmeat harvested 14 DALA. |
| | | | trees starting shuck split; most trees in shuck | 0.23-0.24 [0.258- 0.269] | 7-8 | 4 | Dilute foliar spray/ 142.7-149.7 [1598-1677] | 0.933 [1.05] | , . | |
| Pecan/ Natives | - 8 | 70% WG Appl. 1-4, respective: mature-just to cracking | Appl. 1-4, respective: mature-just prior to cracking; | 0.226-0.236 [0.253- 0.264] | <i>L-</i> 9 | * | Concentrate foliar spray/ 74.5-77.8 [834-871] | 0.920 [1.03] | Hi Yield 90/10 (802/100gal) | Pecan mutment harvested 14 DALA. |
| | | | mature; some in shuck split; ~2/3 trees in shuck split | 0.229-0.233 [0.257- 0.261] | 1-9 | * | Dilute foliar spray/ 170.3-175.9 [1907-1970] | 0.922 [1.03] | , | |

PC Code: 128008 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201 Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043

1.3. Post-harvest Procedures

A single untreated and duplicate treated (one sample from each treatment plot) samples of commercially mature almond RAC (hulls and nutmeat) and pecan RAC (nutmeat) were harvested from each field trial. Specific harvesting procedures were not described; however, each almond sample weighed ≥2.2 lbs (≥1.0 kg). (Pecan sample weights were not specified). Additional samples of almond hulls and nutmeat were collected from the CA trial (Butte County) at various time intervals for residue decline samples. Samples were bagged and stored frozen (temperature not specified) on the day of harvest. Samples were shipped frozen within 0-19 days of harvest to BASF Agricultural Products Center (Research Triangle Park, NC) for analysis.

| 18016 1.5.1. | Summary of Sto | rage Conditions | |
|--------------|-------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Matrix | RAC or Extract | Storage Temperature ('C) (Analytical Laboratory) | Duration |
| Almond | hulls | <-10 | 80-131 days (2.6-4.3 months) |
| | nutmeat | <-10 | 69-116 days (2.3-3.8 months) |
| Pecan | nutmeat | <-10 | 39-49 days (1.3-1.6 months) |

1.4. Analytical Methods

Samples of almond hulls and nutmeat, and pecan nutmeat were analyzed for residues of BAS 510 F using LC/MS/MS method D9908, the data collection method for plant commodities. Briefly, samples of almond hulls and nutmeat, and pecan nutmeat were extracted with methanol:water (70:30, v:v) and filtered. An aliquot of the filtrate was cleaned up using C18 solid phase extraction (SPE). Residues were eluted with dichloromethane (DCM) and cleaned up further using silica gel SPE. Residues were eluted with 4% ethyl acetate in DCM. The eluate was evaporated and residues were redissolved in ammonium formate:formic acid for analysis by LC/MS/MS; refer to the DER for MRID 45405027 for a complete description of the quantitation procedures. The limit of detection (LOD) was 0.025 ppm, and the validated limit of quantitation (LOQ) was 0.05 ppm for the residues of BAS 510 F in/on almond nutmeat and hulls, and pecan nutmeat. Concurrent recoveries for a range of spiking levels are summarized below (Table 2.1).

2. Results

| Table 2.1. Summas | ry of Concurrent Analytical Me | thod Validation. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Crop Matrix | Fortification Level (ppm) | Recoveries (%) | Mean Recovery ± SD |
| Almond hulls | 0.05, 1.0 | 77, 78, 84 | 80 ± 4 |
| Almond nutmeat | 0.05, 1.0 | 87, 90 | 89 ± 2 |
| Pecan nutmeat | 0.05, 1.0 | 73, 76, 80, 82 | 78 ± 4 |

| Location (County, State, Year) | Crop Variety | Commodity | Formulation | Total Rate (lbs ai/A) [kg ai/ha] | Spray volume | PHI (days) | BAS 510 P residues (ppm) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|--|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | Almond | · | | | |
| Tulare, CA, 1999 | Monterey | hulls | 70% WG | 0.920 [1.03] | concentrate | 148 | 1.36 |
| | · | | , | 0.915 [1.03] | dilute | 148 | 2.35 |
| Kern, CA, 1999 | Non-Pareil | hulls | 70% WG | 0.919 [1.03] | concentrate | 108 | 2.10 |
| | | | | 0.919 [1.03] | dilute | 108 | 1.62 |
| Fresno, CA, 1999 | Non-Pareil | hulls | 70% WG | 0.904 [1.01] | concentrate | 116 | 1.10 |
| | | | | 0.917 [1.03] | dilute | 116 | 1.36 |
| Madera, CA, 1999 | Non-Pareil | hulls | 70% WG | 0.912 [1.02] | concentrate | 115 | 0.42 |
| | | | \$100 °C | 0.925 [1.04] | dilute | 115 | 0.82 |
| Butte, CA, 1999 | Non-Pareil | hulls | 70% WG | 0.922 | concentrate | 120 | 1.65 |
| (decline study) | * | | | [1.03] | | 127 | 1.72 |
| | | | | | | 134 | 1.86 |
| w. | | | | | | 148 | 2.63 |
| | | | | | | 155 | 1.43 |
| | | | | 0.916 | dilute | 120 | 1.97 |
| | | 1 | | [1.03] | | 127 | 2.22 |
| | | : | | | | 134 | 2.40 |
| | | | | | | 148 | 2.81 |

| Location | Crop | Commodity | Formulation | Total Rate | Spray | РНІ | BAS 510 F |
|------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|-----------|
| (County, State, | Variety | , | : | (lbs ai/A) | volume | (days) | residues |
| Year) | | | | (kg ai/ha) | | | (ppm) |
| | , | | | | | 155 | 2.84 |
| Tulare, CA, 1999 | Monterey | nutmeat | 70% WG | 0.920 [1.03] | concentrate | 148 | 0.028 |
| | | | Y | 0.915 [1.03] | dilute | 148 | 0.019 |
| Kern, CA, 1999 | Non-Pareil | nutmeat | 70% WG | 0.919 [1.03] | concentrate | 108 | 0.159 |
| | | | | 0.919 [1.03] | dilute | 108 | 0.202 |
| Fresno, CA, 1999 | Non-Pareil | nutmeat | 70% WG | 0.904 [1.01] | concentrate | 116 | 0.126 |
| | | | . · | 0.917 [1.03] | dilute | 116 | 0.158 |
| Madera, CA, 1999 | Non-Pareil | nutmeat | 70% WG | 0.912 [1.03] | concentrate | 115 | 0.047 |
| | | | | 0.925 [1.04] | dilute | 115 | 0.038 |
| Butte, CA, 1999 | Non-Pareil | nutmeat | 70% WG | 0.922 | concentrate | 120 | 0.044 |
| (decline study) | | | | [1.03] | | 127 | 0.049 |
| | | | | | | 134 | 0.078 |
| | | | | | | 148 | 0.074 |
| | | | | | | 155 | 0.047 |
| | | | | 0.916 | dilute | 120 | 0.094 |
| | | | | [1.03] | | 127 | 0.081 |
| _ | | | *** | | | 134 | 0.110 |
| | | | | | | 148 | 0.110 |
| | * | | * | | | 155 | 0.084 |
| | | | Pecan | | | | |
| Clark, GA, 1999 | Stewart | nutmeat | 70% WG | 0.913 [1.02] | concentrate | 14 | <0.05 |
| | | | | 0.909 [1.02] | dilute | 14 | <0.05 |
| Tift, GA, 1999 | Sumner | nutmeat | 70% WG | 0.925 [1.04] | concentrate | 14 | <0.05 |
| | | | | 0.920 [1.03] | dilute | 14 | <0.05 |

Magnitude of the Residue OPPTS 860.1500 DACO 7.4.1 PC Code: 128008 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201 Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043

| Location (County, State, Year) | Crop Variety | Commodity | Formulation | Total Rate (lbs ai/A) [kg ai/ha] | Spray volume | PHI (days) | BAS 510 F residues (ppm) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|--|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Sharkey, MS, 1999 | Kiowa | nutmeat | 70% WG | 0.918 [1.03] | concentrate | 14 | <0.05 |
| | | | | 0.923 [1.03] | dilute | 14 | <0.05 |
| Stephens, OK, 1999 | Seedling | nutmeat | 70% WG | 0.920 [1.03] | concentrate | 14 | <0.05 |
| | | | | 0.934 [1.0 5] | dilute | 14 | <0.05 |
| Tillman, OK, 1999 | Natives | nutmeat | 70% WG | 0.920 [1.03] | concentrate | 14 | <0.05 |
| | | | | 0.922 [1.03] | dilute | 14 | <0.05 |

| Commodity | Total Applic. Rate | Spray volume | PHI (days) | | Resid | ue Levels (| ppm) | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|---------|-------------|------------------|----------|
| | (lb ai/A) [kg ai/ha] | | | Minimum | Maximum | HAFT | Mean [Median] | Std. Dev |
| Almond hulls | 0.904-0.922 [1.01-1.03] | concentrate | 108-155 ¹ | 0.420 | 2.63 | 2.45 | 1.59 [1.65] | 0.624 |
| | 0.915-0.925 [1.03-1.04] | dilute | 108-155¹ | 0.824 | 2.84 | | 2.05 [2.22] | 0.675 |
| Almond nutmeat | 0.904-0.922 [1.01-1.03] | concentrate | 108-155 ¹ | 0.028 | 0.159 | 0.181 | 0.076 [0.049] | 0.043 |
| | 0.915-0.925 [1.03-1.04] | dilute | 108-155 ¹ | 0.019 | 0.202 | | 0.100 [0.094] | 0.056 |
| Pecan nutmeat | 0.913-0.925 [1.02-1. 0 4] | concentrate | 14 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 [<0.05] | 0 |
| | 0.909-0.934 [1.02-1.05] | dilute | 14 | <0.05 | <0.05 | | <0.05 [<0.05] | 0 |

The results for all samples from the residue decline studies have been included in the calculations for the summary of data for the almond field trials.

Magnitude of the Residue OPPTS 860.1500 DACO 7.4.1 PC Code: 128008 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201 Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043

3. Discussion

3.1. Methods

Two plots were treated at each field site. In each test location, the 70% WG formulation of BAS 510 F was applied four times as a foliar spray at ~0.23 lb ai/A/application (~0.26 kg ai/ha/application), with a 6- to 8-day retreatment interval, for a total rate of 0.90-0.93 lb ai/A (1.01-1.04 ka ai/ha) using either concentrate or dilute spray volumes. Applications were made using ground equipment in either a concentrated spray volume (63.6-100.84 gal/A, or 712-1129 L/ha, of water) or a dilute spray volume (136.2-256.9 gal/A, 1525-2877 L/ha, of water) with a spray adjuvant added. After treatment, mature samples were collected at the following posttreatment intervals: 108-148 days for almond (hulls and nutmeat) and 14 days for pecan nutmeat. In one almond field trial, samples of almond hulls and nutmeat were collected at 120, 127, 134, 148, and 155 days following treatment to evaluate residue decline.

Five almond trials were conducted in Region 10 and five pecan trials were conducted in Regions 2 (2 trials), 4 (1 trial), 6 (1 trial), and 8 (1 trial). For the EPA, the number and location of field trials conducted for almonds and pecans are in accordance with the guidance requirements (OPPTS 860.1500, Tables 2 and 5).

Residues of BAS 510 F in/on almond hulls and nutmeat and pecan nutmeat were quantitated using LC/MS/MS method D9908, the data collection method for plant commodities.

Maximum storage intervals of crop samples from harvest to analysis were 131 days (4.3 months) for almond hulls, 116 days (3.8 months) for almond nutmeat, and 49 days (1.6 months) for pecan nutmeat. Adequate storage stability data in five diverse matrices (refer to the DER for MRID 45405109) are available to support the storage conditions and intervals of samples from the submitted almond and pecan field trials.

3.2. Results

In <u>almond hulls</u>, residues of BAS 510 F were 0.420-2.628 ppm (concentrate spray) and 0.824-2.806 ppm (dilute spray) in/on samples harvested 108-148 days following the last of four foliar spray applications of the 70% WG formulation at 0.222-0.236 lb ai/A/application (0.249-0.264 kg ai/ha/application), for a total rate of 0.90-0.93 lb ai/A (1.01-1.04 kg ai/ha). No significant differences in the residues were observed between the concentrate and dilute spray applications. Apparent residues of BAS 510 F were less than the method LOQ (<0.05 ppm) in/on five samples of untreated almond hulls. The residue decline data for almond hulls indicated that BAS 510 F residues increased at longer posttreatment intervals, with maximum residues occurring at the 148- to 155-day posttreatment intervals.

In almond nutmeat, residues of BAS 510 F were 0.028-0.159 ppm (concentrate spray) and 0.019-0.202 ppm (dilute spray) in/on samples harvested 108-148 days following the last of four foliar spray applications of the 70% WG formulation at 0.222-0.236 lb ai/A/application (0.249-0.264)

PC Code: 128008 MRIDs: 45405111 and 45405201 Submission # 2001-1027, 1036, 1043

kg ai/ha/application), for a total rate of 0.90-0.93 lb ai/A (1.01-1.04 kg ai/ha). No significant differences in the residues were observed between the concentrate and dilute spray applications. Apparent residues of BAS 510 F were less than the method LOQ (<0.05 ppm) in/on five samples of untreated almond nutmeat. The residue decline data for almond nutmeat indicated that residues of BAS 510 F generally did not increase at longer posttreatment intervals.

In <u>pecan nutmeat</u>, residues of BAS 510 F were below the LOQ (<0.05 ppm) in/on samples harvested 14 days following the last of four foliar concentrated or dilute spray applications of the 70% WG formulation at 0.222-0.239 lb ai/A/application (0.249-0.268 kg ai/ha/application), for a total rate of 0.91-0.93 lb ai/A (0.1.02-1.04 kg ai/ha). No residue decline data were included in the submission for pecans. Because residues were below the LOQ in/on all samples, residue decline data for pecans will not be required.

4. Deficiencies

None.

5. References

None